

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/827,796	04/06/2001	Marta Karczewicz	NC17525	8882		
26343	7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER			
STEVEN A. SHAW			WARE, CI	WARE, CICELY Q		
NOKIA, INC 6000 CONNI	ECTION DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MD 1-4-755			2634			
IRVING, TX 75039			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/82	7,796	KARCZEWICZ E1	ΓAL.		
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	_		
		Cicely		2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extensic after SIM - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30 reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. l) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be time statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication <i>.</i>		
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>06 April 200</u>	<u>1</u> .				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	n of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	<u> </u>						
Application	n Papers						
10)⊠ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the ne drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 pplicant may not request that any objected to eplacement drawing sheet(s) including ne oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ acce tion to the drawing(the correction is re	(s) be held in abeyance. Sec quired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lo(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/827,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Pg. 2, line 23-24, applicant uses the phrase "exploits spatial as well temporal". Examiner suggests using "exploits spatial as well as temporal" for clarification purposes.
 - b. Pg. 5, line 31, examiner suggests deleting "-" for clarification purposes.
- c. Pg. 6, line 17, examiner suggests deleting "-" for clarification purposes.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Igi et al. (US Patent 6,414,999) in view of Huang et al. (US Patent 6,516,002).

Application/Control Number: 09/827,796 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

With regard to claim 5, Igi et al. discloses a method for switching between a plurality of bitstreams in a data communication system, said method comprising the steps of: placing a first picture within each of said plurality of bitstreams in locations at which switching from one of said plurality of bitstreams to another one of said plurality of bitstreams is desired; transmitting a second picture wherein said first picture and said second picture are represented by different bitstreams, but wherein said first picture and said second picture reconstructed values are identical (Fig. 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, col. 1, lines 10-12, 36-40, col. 2, lines 53-55, col. 4, lines 9-10, col. 5, lines 36-40, col. 6, lines 33-35, 41-44, col. 10, lines 43-46, 52-55).

However Igi et al. does not disclose wherein said bitstreams correspond to a same data sequence but are encoded at different bitrates.

However Huang et al. discloses wherein said bitstreams correspond to a same data sequence but are encoded at different bitrates (col. 1, lines 11-12, 59-67, col. 2, lines 6-8, 63-67, col. 3, lines 1-5).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify lgi et al. to incorporate wherein said bitstreams correspond to a same data sequence but are encoded at different bitrates because the more bits used to encode a picture, the better the picture quality (Huang et al., col. 3, lines 5-7).

Application/Control Number: 09/827,796

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

Page 4

5. The prior art made record of and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. Rosengren et al. US Patent 5,633,683 discloses an arrangement and method for transmitting and receiving MOSAIC video signals including sub-pictures for easy selection of a program to be viewed.

b. Ohta US Patent 4,942,465 discloses a method of coding a digital video signal for reverse reproduction of pictures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 703-305-8326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw

September 1, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000